

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,513	07/23/2003	Hidetoshi Kayama	240598US90	7423
22850 7590 10/03/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			HAILE, FEBEN	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/03/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/624,513	KAYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Feben M. Haile	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreciation ap	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju						
,	, 					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-8 and 11-13</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) L_ Other:						

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. In view of applicant's amendment filed July 20, 2007, the status of the application is still pending with respect to claims 1-13.
- 2. The amendment filed is insufficient to overcome the rejection of claims 1-5 and 9-10 based upon Applicants Admitted Prior Art (page 2 lines 15-22), Tsunehara et al. (IS 6,907,260), and Komatsu (US 2001/0023188) as set forth in the last Office action because: the Applicants claimed invention fails to clarify a distinction over the cited references, thus the subject matter is not patentable.
- 3. The Examiner acknowledges the correction of Figures 1A and 1B, thus their objection has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 10 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tsunehara et al. (IS 6,907,260), hereinafter referred to as Tsunehara.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 10, Tsunehara discloses a notification signal received power measurer configured to measure the received power of a notification signal transmitted from the base station (figure 32 unit 40 and column 3 lines 15-25; a transmit power controlling signal determining portion determines whether the received transmit power controlling signal is a 0 or 1); an extractor configured to extract the traffic volume of packet signals transmitted via upstream radio channels and a control method of the transmission power of the packet signals selected in the base station, from the notification signal (figure 32 unit 41 and column 3 lines 26-29; a selector outputs a variation amount of the transmit power in accordance with a controlling signal input from the transmit power controlling signal determining portion); and a transmission judger configured to judge whether or not to transmit the packet signals, based on the received power of the notification signal, the traffic volume of the packet signals and the control method of the transmission power of the packet signals (figure 32 unit 19 and column 3 lines 30-37; a transmit power calculation portion determines the changed transmit power, using the variation amount of the transmit power input from the selector and the current transmit power input from a transmit power maintaining circuit).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2616

5. Claims 1-4 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants Admitted Prior Art (page 2 lines 15-22), hereinafter referred to as Admitted Prior Art, in view of Tsunehara et al. (IS 6,907,260), hereinafter referred to as Tsunehara.

Regarding claims 1 and 3-4, Admitted Prior Art discloses the first control method controlling the transmission power so as to keep the received power of the packet signals constant (page 2 lines 15-18; a first control method for controlling the transmission power of signals in each transmitter so as to keep the received power of the signals in the receiver constant), the second control method controlling the transmission power so as to keep a ratio between the received power of the packet signals and the interference power in the radio channels constant (page 2 lines 19-22; a second control method for controlling the transmission power, so as to keep an SIR of the signals transmitted via each radio channel constant).

Admitted Prior Art fails to explicitly suggest measuring the traffic volume of the packet signals in the base station; and switching between a first control method and a second control method based on the measured traffic volume in the base station.

Tsunehara teaches an a base station for measuring a signal to interference power ratio of a signal sent from a mobile station in the upstream direction to transmit a transmit power controlling signal (figure 29 and column 1 lines 51-54) including upstream channel SIR measuring portion measuring SIR of the received signal input (figure 29 unit 221 and column 2 lines 9-14) and an upstream channel transmit power controlling signal generating portion comparing the SIR of the received signal with a

Art Unit: 2616

column 2 lines 15-19), including a comparator to generate a signal to of either a 0 or 1 depending on whether the SIR of the received signal is larger or smaller then the target SIR (figure 31 unit 223 and column 2 lines 34-38) and a selector for choosing either the 0 or 1 to instruct the mobile station on how to control power (figure 31 unit 224 and column 2 lines 38-42).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of controlling transmit power taught by Tsunehara into the transmitter configured to control transmission power disclosed by Admitted Prior Art. The motivation for such a modification is to improve communication quality, thereby increasing communication capacity.

Regarding claims 2 and 9, Admitted Prior Art discloses the first control method controlling the transmission power so as to keep the received power of the packet signals constant (page 2 lines 15-18; a first control method for controlling the transmission power of signals in each transmitter so as to keep the received power of the signals in the receiver constant), the second control method controlling the transmission power so as to keep a difference between the received power of the packet signals and the interference power in the radio channels constant (page 2 lines 19-22; a second control method for controlling the transmission power, so as to keep an SIR of the signals transmitted via each radio channel constant).

Art Unit: 2616

Admitted Prior Art fails to explicitly suggest measuring the traffic volume of the packet signals in the base station; and switching between a first control method and a second control method based on the measured traffic volume in the base station.

Tsunehara teaches an upstream channel transmit power controlling signal generating portion comparing the SIR of the received signal with a target SIR to generate transmit power controlling signals (figure 29 unit 222 and column 2 lines 15-19), including a comparator to generate a signal to of either a 0 or 1 depending on whether the SIR of the received signal is larger or smaller then the target SIR (figure 31 unit 223 and column 2 lines 34-38) and a selector for choosing either the 0 or 1 to instruct the mobile station on how to control power (figure 31 unit 224 and column 2 lines 38-42).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the method of controlling transmit power taught by Tsunehara into the transmitter configured to control transmission power disclosed by Admitted Prior Art. The motivation for such a modification is to improve communication quality, thereby increasing communication capacity.

6. Claim 5 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants Admitted Prior Art (page 2 lines 15-22), hereinafter referred to as Admitted Prior Art, in view of Tsunehara et al. (IS 6,907,260), hereinafter referred to as Tsunehara, in view of Komatsu (US 2001/0023188), hereinafter referred to as Komatsu.

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 5, Admitted Prior Art as modified by Tsunehara disclose the limitation of the base claim.

Tsunehara further discloses the measurer measures an average interference power in the upstream radio channels as the traffic volume of the packet signals (figure 29 unit 221 and column 2 lines 9-14; upstream channel SIR measuring portion measuring SIR of the received signal input), and the switcher switches between the first control method and the second control method, based on the average interference power and a predetermined threshold (figure 29 unit 222 and column 2 lines 15-19; an upstream channel transmit power controlling signal generating portion comparing the SIR of the received signal with a target SIR to generate transmit power controlling signals; figure 31 unit 223 and column 2 lines 34-38; a comparator to generate a signal to of either a 0 or 1 depending on whether the SIR of the received signal is larger or smaller then the target SIR; figure 31 unit 224 and column 2 lines 38-42; a selector for choosing either the 0 or 1 to instruct the mobile station on how to control power).

Admitted Prior Art, Tsunehara, and/or their combination fail to explicitly suggest measuring interference power per time unit.

Komatsu teaches a power control method where a base station measures the reception level in a unit of a time slot and compares the reception level with a reference value for issuing an instruction to a mobile station to vary its transmission level.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate power control method taught by Komatsu into the

Art Unit: 2616

transmitter configured to control transmission power disclosed by Admitted Prior Art as modified by the method of controlling transmit power suggested by Tsunehara. The motivation for such a modification is to improve the communication quality in the reverse-link to a base station.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 6-8 and 11-13 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- **8.** Applicant's arguments filed July 20, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant respectfully traverses that Tsunehara fails to teach or suggest measuring the traffic volume of the packet signals in the base station and switching between a first control method and a second control method based on the measured traffic volume in the base station. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Tsunehara teaches a base station for measuring a signal to interference power ratio of a signal sent from a mobile station in the upstream direction to transmit a power controlling signal (figure 29 and column 1 lines 51-54), where the result of determining the controlling signal is dependent upon the transmission power of a mobile station per unit time (figure 33). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the power measuring means per time unit could be interpreted as traffic volume. Furthermore, based on this controlling signal,

Art Unit: 2616

Tsunehara suggests a selector for choosing either a 0 or 1 to instruct the mobile station on how to control power (figure 31 unit 224 and column 2 lines 38-42). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that the 0 or 1 could be implemented as Admitted Prior Arts first and second control method. The Applicant also respectfully traverses that Tsunehara fails to teach or suggest that the mobile station judges whether or not to transmit packet signals based on any parameters. The Examiner respectfully traverses. Tsunehara discloses a mobile station transmitting signals based on the values 0 and 1 for controlling the power. Therefore as the claims are interpreted in their broadest sense, the Examiner believes that Tsunehara indeed does render the Applicant's invention obvious.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2616

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 10

examiner should be directed to Feben M. Haile whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3072. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00am - 3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (571) 272-7629. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

09/19/2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600